

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10401/1 FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. D LEVY 11/03/97

HM12/0131

08/962,740

KENYON & KENYON

NEW YORK NY 10004

ONE BROADWAY

EXAMINER

LANKFORD JR,L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1651

DATE MAILED:

01/31/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant(s)

08/962,740

L. Blaine Lankford

Group Art Unit

Levy et al

1651



| Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 15, 1999 | |
|--|---|
| This action is FINAL . | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal maccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 | , 400 0.0. 210. |
| shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon pplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of tim 7 CFR 1.136(a). | month(s), or thirty days, whichever and within the period for response will cause the |
| Disposition of Claims | island acading in the application |
| | is/are pending in the application. |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration |
| Claim(s) | is/are allowed. |
| X Claim(s) 1-5 and 35-37 | is/are rejected. |
| Claim(s) | is/are objected to. |
| ☐ Claims are | e subject to restriction or election requirement. |
| Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3 | the Examiner. Samproved disapproved. Sample U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). |
| □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the pri □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the Internative Certified copies not received: □ | tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under | r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOL | LLOWING PAGES |

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims remain rejected for the reasons of record. The applicant has argued that the prior art does not provide the motivation to make the claim designated cell line, however the prior art does provide a stat null allele animal and the motivation and technique for making cell lines from a transgenic animal. The references render obvious the claimed invention.

Arguments that the deposited cell line is unobvious will be entertained after final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 & 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Durbin et al in view of Jallat et al(A) and Leder et al (B) and also in view of Todaro et al(as cited in applicant's specification).

Durbin teaches the production of STAT1 null allele transgenic mice but does not teach developing a cell line from the mice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a cell line from the cells of the transgenic mice of

Application/Control Number: 08/962,740

Art Unit: 1651

Durbin because Leder and Jallat motivate the artisan to do that and disclose how to make cell lines from transgenic mice. Additionally, the immortalization of said cell lines would have been obvious at the time the invention was made because the imortalization of cells and the desirabilities of immortalization using such tools as SV40 is notoriously old and well known in the art.

3. Accordingly, the claimed invention was <u>prima facie</u> obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 08/962,740 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is (703) 308-2455.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

January 28, 2000

L. Blaine Lankford Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651